



PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (July 2022)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)
Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER
STATE OF WASHINGTON
FILED

DATE: January 12, 2024
TIME: 12:27 PM

WSR 24-03-066

Agency: Washington State Gambling Commission

- Original Notice**
- Supplemental Notice to WSR** _____
- Continuance of WSR** _____

- Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 23.19.093 ; or**
- Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR _____; or**
- Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or**
- Proposal is exempt under RCW _____.**

Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) WAC 230-11 related to raffles, specifically raffle prizes, members-only raffles, and recordkeeping.

Hearing location(s):

Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:
March 14, 2024	09:30am	Washington State Gambling Commission 4565 7 th Avenue, SE Lacey, WA 98503	The meeting time and location are tentative. Visit our website at www.wsgc.wa.gov approximately seven days prior to the meeting and select "About Us" and then, "Upcoming commission meetings" to confirm the hearing date, location, start time and agenda items.

Date of intended adoption: March 14, 2024 (Note: This is **NOT** the **effective** date)

Submit written comments to:

Name: Damon Mentzer
Address: PO Box 42400, Olympia, WA 98504-2400
Email: rules.coordinator@wsgc.wa.gov
Fax:
Other: www.wsgc.wa.gov
By (date) March 13, 2024

Assistance for persons with disabilities:

Contact Julie Anderson
Phone: 360-486-3453
Fax:
TTY: 360-486-3637
Email: julie.anderson@wsgc.wa.gov
Other: www.wsgc.wa.gov
By (date) March 13, 2024

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: Petitioners have requested a number of changes: (1) to keep up with inflation in terms of maximum prize value and maximum ticket price; (2) to alter some of the limitations on members-only raffles; and (3) to ease the burden of record-keeping.

Reasons supporting proposal: Petitioners requested these changes to improve their ability to fundraise effectively and efficiently with raffles as an operation.

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 9.46.070

Statute being implemented: RCW 9.46.070

Is rule necessary because of a:

- Federal Law? Yes No
- Federal Court Decision? Yes No
- State Court Decision? Yes No

If yes, CITATION:

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:

Type of proponent: Private Public Governmental

Name of proponent: (person or organization) Ducks Unlimited, Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation, Nonprofit Association of Washington, Congressional Sportsmen's Foundation, and Coastal Conservation Association

Name of agency personnel responsible for:			
	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting: Coordinator	Damon Mentzer, Rules	4565 7 th Ave SE, Lacey, WA 98503	360-486-3455
Implementation:	Tina Griffin, Director	4565 7 th Ave SE, Lacey, WA 98503	360-486-3546
Enforcement: Director	Gary Drumheller, Deputy	4565 7 th Ave SE, Lacey, WA 98503	509-325-7904

Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under [RCW 28A.305.135](#)? Yes No

If yes, insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting:

Name:
Address:
Phone:
Fax:
TTY:
Email:
Other:

Is a cost-benefit analysis required under [RCW 34.05.328](#)?

Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:
Name:
Address:
Phone:
Fax:
TTY:
Email:
Other:

No: Please explain: A cost-benefit analysis is not required per RCW 34.05.328(5)(b)(v).

Regulatory Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Impact Statement
 Note: The [Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance \(ORIA\)](#) provides support in completing this part.

(1) Identification of exemptions:
 This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, **may be exempt** from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see [chapter 19.85 RCW](#)). For additional information on exemptions, consult the [exemption guide published by ORIA](#). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under [RCW 19.85.061](#) because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.
 Citation and description:

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by [RCW 34.05.313](#) before filing the notice of this proposed rule.

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of [RCW 15.65.570](#)(2) because it was adopted by a referendum.

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under [RCW 19.85.025](#)(3). Check all that apply:

<input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b) (Internal government operations)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e) (Dictated by statute)
<input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c) (Incorporation by reference)	<input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f) (Set or adjust fees)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d) (Correct or clarify language)	<input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g) ((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under [RCW 19.85.025](#)(4) (does not affect small businesses).

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW _____.

Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the proposed rule:

(2) Scope of exemptions: *Check one.*

- The rule proposal is fully exempt (*skip section 3*). Exemptions identified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.
- The rule proposal is partially exempt (*complete section 3*). The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using [this template from ORIA](#)):
- The rule proposal is not exempt (*complete section 3*). No exemptions were identified above.

(3) Small business economic impact statement: *Complete this section if any portion is not exempt.*

If any portion of the proposed rule is **not exempt**, does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?

- No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs. _____
- Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:

Name:

Address:

Phone:

Fax:

TTY:

Email:

Other:

Date: January 12, 2024

Name: Lisa C. McLean

Title: Legislative and Policy Manager

Signature:

